#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				ITY		
Го:		•	-	,		PCT PION
						RITTEN OPINION OF THE TIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
·			<u></u>		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference				FOR FURTHER ACTION		
P 1	0758	BDUbe			-	See paragraph 2 below
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06.08.2003	
Internat	ional Pa	tent Classification	n (IPC) or both	l national classification an	d IPC	
					•	
Applica						
CON	TINI	ENTAL TE	VES AG	& CO.OHG		·
	····				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.	This o	pinion contains it	ndications rela	ting to the following items	5:	
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion		
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. II	Priority			
	$\overline{\Box}$	Box No. III		shment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, invent	tive step and industrial applicability
	$\exists$	Box No. IV		y of invention	g,,,	,
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. V	Reasoned st		.1(a)(i) with regard to ns supporting such sta	novelty, inventive step or industrial
		Box No. VI		uments cited		
	$\boxtimes$	·Box No. VII		ects in the international ap	nlication	
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. VIII		ervations on the internation		
2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
•	writte	n reply together,	where approp	considered to be a writte priate, with amendments, of 22 months from the pr	before the expiration	A, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a n of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form expires later.
		rther options, see				
3.	For fu	rther details, see	notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220. <sup>7</sup>		
	<u>.</u>					
Name a	nd mail	ing address of the	ISAÆP		Authorized officer	
						•
Facsini	ile No.		<u> </u>		Telephone No.	

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language  which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).  With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  a. type of material  a sequence listing  table(s) related to the sequence listing  b. format of material  in written format  in computer readable form  c. time of filing/furnishing  contained in the international application as filed.	Bo	x No. I B	Basis of this opinion
which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).  2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  a. type of material  a sequence listing  b. format of material  in written format  in computer readable form  c. time of filing/furnishing	1.		
Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).  2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  a. type of material  a sequence listing  table(s) related to the sequence listing  b. format of material  in written format  in computer readable form  c. time of filing/furnishing		This opin	
invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  a. type of material  a sequence listing  table(s) related to the sequence listing  b. format of material  in written format  in computer readable form  c. time of filing/furnishing		Rule 12.3	
a sequence listing  table(s) related to the sequence listing  b. format of material  in written format  in computer readable form  c. time of filing/furnishing	2.		
table(s) related to the sequence listing  b. format of material in written format in computer readable form  c. time of filing/furnishing		a. type of m	naterial .
b. format of material in written format in computer readable form c. time of filing/furnishing		a se	equence listing
in written format in computer readable form  c. time of filing/furnishing		tab	le(s) related to the sequence listing
in computer readable form  c. time of filing/furnishing		b. format of	f material
c. time of filing/furnishing	-	inv	written format
c. time of filing/furnishing		in a	computer readable form
contained in the international application as tited.	-		
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		<u></u>	·
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		. L fur	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	3.	furnished	l, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as
4. Additional comments:	4.	Additional con	nments:
•			
		·	
		•	

Box No. II Priority		
1. The following document has	not yet been furnished: cation whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).	
	application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).	
Consequently it has not been	possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established that date in the claimed priority date.	d on
2. This opinion has been estable (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). The relevant date.	ished as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found in its for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be	valid e the
3. Additional observations, if necess	ry:	
•		·
		į
·		
		•
		,

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statemen	nt .	
	Nove	lty (N) Claims 1-53	YES
:		Claims	NO ·
	Inven	tive step (IS) Claims 3-11, 16-21, 24, 27, 29, 31-37, 40-53	YES
		Claims 1, 2, 12-15, 22, 23, 25, 26, 28, 30, 38, 39	NO
	Tanaha a		* 23743
	maus	trial applicability (IA)  Claims 1-53  Claims	YES NO
		Cramis	·
2.	Citations	and explanations:	
	1	In the present opinion, reference is made to the	
		following documents:	
		D1: DE 197 50 977 A (DAIMLER CHRYSLER AG)	
		2 June 1999 (1999-06-02)	
		D2: DE 195 43 698 C (DAIMLER BENZ AG)	
		20 March 1997 (1997-03-20)	
		D3: DE 36 41 475 A (ALFRED TEVES GmbH) 16 June	
		1988 (1988-06-16)	
		D4: WO 95/28307 A (ITT AUTOMOTIVE EUROPE GmbH)	
		26 October 1995 (1995-10-26)	
	2	Document D1 is considered to be the closest prior	
		art. It discloses all the features of the preambles	
		of the independent claims 1, 13 and 38 from which	
		the subject matters according to the invention	
		differ through the characterizing features of the	
		independent claims.	
		<b>*</b>	
	2.1	The subject matters of the independent claims 1, 13	
		and 38 are thus novel (PCT Article 33(2)).	
	3	The characterizing features of independent claims	

International application No. . PCT/EP2004/051681

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

propose three alternative actuation means for switching the pedal travel simulator on and off.

- 3.1 In D1, when the brake-by-wire operating mode fails, a rigid connection is produced between the brake pedal and the master brake cylinder inlet tappet, in a passive manner by bringing the parts into contact after a small amount of idle travel.
- 3.2 The problem addressed by the present invention can therefore be considered that of reducing the idle travel when the brake-by-wire operating mode fails.
- 3.3 The solutions to this problem proposed in the independent claim 1 of the present application do not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) because in the specialist area it is already known to actively reduce the idle travel when the brake-by-wire operating mode fails, see for example D2, column 1, lines 33 to 48, and column 1. The incorporation of such idle-travel-reducing means in D1 for solving the problem of interest is therefore obvious.
- 3.3.1 Electromechenical (figure 3) or electrohydraulic (figure 6) means are proposed as idle-travel-reducing means, cf. the present independent claims 1 and 13.
- 3.4 Although not explicitly mentioned in D2, it is perfectly clear to a person skilled in the art that a plurality of drive possibilities are present for

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/051681

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

the "means". D4 describes the equivalence of electromechanical actuators (figures 1 to 3), electrohydraulic actuators (figure 5) and (electro)pneumatic actuators (figure 4).

Consequently, the subject matter of the independent claim 38 does not involve an inventive step either.

- Furthermore, pneumatic actuators or drive possibilities which are suitable for actively reducing the idle-travel-reducing means and which operate with a partial vacuum are also known in the specialist field, see for example D3. cf. the present independent claim 38 [penultimate line: "preferably by means of a partial vacuum"] and the dependent claim 39.
- 4. The features of the dependent claims 2, 12, 14, 15, 22, 23, 25, 26, 28, 30 are disclosed either in D1 or D2 and thus cannot make any contribution to a subject matter involving an inventive step.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/051681

Box No. VII

Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

- On page 8, last paragraph, line 4, the word "preferably" should be inserted between "are" and "means";
- on page 9, paragraph 4, first line, the word "preferably" should be inserted at the end of the line;
- on page 10, penultimate paragraph, line 2, the expression "the present invention" should be replaced by "an advantageous development of the present invention";
- on page 11, paragraph 4, line 1, the word "preferably" should be inserted between "hysteresis" and "in";
- on page 13, last line, "8a" should be replaced by "14a" and "B" should be replaced by "A";
- on page 20, paragraph 3, line 7, the reference symbol "2" should be replaced by "14";
- the method of functioning of the appendix according to figure 9, in particular of the nonreturn valve 63 is unclear [page 21, paragraph 1, last sentence];
- the reference symbol "2" on page 23, line 8 is not present in figure 15;
- "figure 17" in the penultimate line of page 23 should read "figure 18";
- on page 24, line 4, the reference symbol "79" is used twice for difference features;
- on page 24, paragraph 2, line 1, "figure 18" should read "figure 17";
- the reference symbol "83" which is mentioned on page 24, paragraph 2, line 3 is not present in

Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	figure 17;
_	on page 24, last line, the reference number "90"
	should be "92".
	•

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/051681

Βοχ Νο. ΥΠΙ

Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

- In claim 25, line 2 the references between the parentheses are missing;
- claim 31 contradicts the description: claim 31, line 8, "slave cylinder (69)" versus page 8, paragraph 3, line 6, "master cylinder";
- claim 32 contradicts the description: claim 32, line 2, "pedal travel simulator" versus page 8, last paragraph, line 1, "slave cylinder";
- claim 43 should presumably be dependent on one of claims 38 to 42. Otherwise it does not meet the requirements of PCT Rule 6.4;
- the present claims 49 to 52 should be inserted between the present claims 37 and 38, see also Rule 6.1(b);
- claims 53 should presumably be dependent on one of claims 38 to 52. Otherwise it does not meet the requirements of PCT Rule 6.4.